6



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,692	10/25/2001	Griffith D. Neal	8864/29	3164
7590 12/05/2003 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER	
			TUPPER, ROBERT S	
P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 6			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>3</b> /			2652	
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/001,692	NEAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert S Tupper	2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 (	<u> October 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the finance at the same application of the foreign language profit 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the same application of the foreign language profit acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the same application and the same application at the same application and the same application are same applications.	Its have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not relic priority under 35 U.S.C. § Its sentence of the specification with the certification of the specification of the specifi	ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. n received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] 1_4; 0	nman (PTO 442) Panar Na(a)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2652

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-26, 36-40, and 43-45, drawn to a disk drive, classified in class 360, subclass 99.08.
  - II. Claims 27-31 and 33-35, drawn to abase/base with cover, classified in class 360, subclass 97.01.
  - III. Claims 32, 41, 42, and 46-59, drawn to method of making a disk drive, classified in class 29, subclass 603.03.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claim 1 is an evidence claim that merely a "base" without reciting the details of the base as recited in claim 27. The subcombination has separate utility such as in any type of disk drive other than that recited in Group I.
- 3. Inventions (I and/or II) and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product

Art Unit: 2652

or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the structures of Groups I and II can be made using materially different methods – e.g. using known molding methods other than injection molding (re method claims 32, 46, 49, 51, and 53), or (B) forming the miniature disk drive before encapsulating the stator and actuator housing assembly separately (re claim 41).

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

IF THE INVENTION OF GROUP III IS ELECTED, APPLICANT MUST ALSO MAKE AN ELECTION OF SPECIES AS SET FORTH BELOW.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (A) the method of making a disk drive including spindle motor detail steps, and (B) the method of making a disk drive including actuator step details.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Art Unit: 2652

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. S. Shurtz on 12/3/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2652

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The preamble recites "The method of claim 27", however, claim 27 is not a method claim. It is unclear exactly what is being claimed.

This claim has been included in the method claim group in the restriction/election of species requirement above.

Appropriate correction is required.

9. The proposed drawing corrections have not been approved for entry because the changes have not been shown in red. It is unclear what is being changed.

Applicant should provide a new copy of the drawings being corrected with the changes clearly identified.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S Tupper whose telephone number is 703-308-1601. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:00 AM - 3:30 PM (first Fri off).

Art Unit: 2652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 703-305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3054750.

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

rst